



Miami County, Kansas

**Capital Improvement Program
2005 – 2009**

adopted January 5, 2004

revised October, 2004

**Board of County Commissioners,
Miami County, Kansas**

District 1 - James E. Wise

District 2 - Arthur Godfrey, DVM

District 3 – W.R. "Bill" Butler

District 4 – Patton Apple

District 5 – Lyle Wobker

Alan Morris, County Administrator

Table of Contents

	Page No.
<u>INTRODUCTION</u>	1 - 2
 <u>SECTION ONE</u>	
Resolution R04-08-064.....	3
Objectives	4
Benefits	5
Capital Financing Options	6 - 7
Pay as you go	
Borrowing	
Criteria for Project Values	8 - 9
 <u>SECTION TWO</u>	
Davis Bacon Act.....	10
Americans with Disabilities	10
Sales Tax Exemptions	11
 <u>SECTION THREE</u>	
Capital Improvement Budget	12 - 15
 <u>SECTION FOUR</u>	
Capital Improvement Schedule	16 - 19

Introduction

Miami County Government provides services to approximately 30,000 citizens in the five towns and unincorporated areas of Miami County. The services provided enhance the life and well being of all by promoting health, safety, growth and convenience.

Since 1992 Miami County's population has increased more than 22% placing significant strains on the county's struggle to meet increasing demands for roads, bridges, airport, rail-road crossings, waste disposal systems, water use, public safety, etc.

The 2005 - 2009 Miami County Capital Improvement Program is a five year projection of future capital needs. It reflects that there is plenty of work to be done. The price tag for that work is reflected in this CIP. The vast percentage of dollars spent on capital projects in the next five years will be invested in public works infrastructure. Everyone benefits from roads, storm drainage, water and sewer lines. Infrastructure not only improves the quality of life in Miami County, but also creates the road map for Miami County's economic development. Additionally, our public safety and recreational needs must be addressed.

On August 30, 2004 the Board of County Commissioners approved a revised 2005 - 2009 Road and Bridge Construction Projects Section of the 2005 - 2009 Capital Improvement Program that was adopted January 5, 2004. With a total program of \$26,812,000, encompassing thirty-five (35) projects, road and bridge improvements make up the major capital need in the coming five years. These projects were selected as a result of the 1995 Transportation Plan, county development trends, traffic counts, and KDOT funding criteria.

The county jail will be an important item in the near future as the detention count continues to place major stress on every aspect of the present facility. The state is exerting pressure on Miami County to house more inmates outside its borders as the Miami County Jail grows more overcrowded. This CIP projects expenditures for a feasibility study and construction this decade of a new jail.

Future airport improvements are budgeted in expectation of additional funds from the federal government accounting for 95% of the projected eligible cost. The CIP also includes projected capital budget funding for renovations and additions to public works and general government facilities. It projects the need for two emergency medical service facilities, one in the county's northern tier and another in the southeast area of the county. Also included in this CIP is the suggestion for setting aside funds on a yearly basis for future parks and recreation purposes.

The development of this document marks the continuation of a capital improvement planning process for Miami County. The need for establishing a multi-year capital improvement plan has become increasingly evident as Miami County continues to grow. Our goal in developing this CIP is to plan for and guide needed capital improvements and expenditures in a fiscally sound manner and to ensure that these improvements are consistent with the goals and policies of the Board of County Commissioners and Miami County citizens.

This document is segmented into four (4) sections:

SECTION ONE - This Capital Improvement Document begins with the authorizing resolution adopting the 2005 - 2009 Capital Improvement Program. The Program Objectives, Benefits of Having a Capital Improvement Program and Capital Financing Options are also set forth. Additionally, Criteria for Assessing Project Values are identified.

SECTION TWO - Miami County's Capital Improvement Projects support compliance in accordance with:

- ◆ Standards established by the Davis Bacon Act
- ◆ Americans with Disability Act
- ◆ County Sales Tax Exemption Process

SECTION THREE - This section summarizes the 2005 Capital Budget that is integrated directly into the County's 2005 Operating Budget process. The Capital Budget reflects the current slice of our multi-year plan; to differentiate between the capital spending element of our 2005 annual budget and the longer term capital financial planning process.

SECTION FOUR - The 2005 - 2009 Capital Improvement Schedule is outlined in this section, identifying the projected timeline for projects. The priorities listed are not treated as fixed. Each project is subject to scrutiny and comparison.

Section One

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING A REVISED 2005 – 2009 "ROAD AND BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS" SECTION OF THE 2005 – 2009 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM, ADOPTED JANUARY 5, 2004.

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners of Miami County, Kansas (the "County") is authorized to adopt a Capital Improvement Program (CIP) to identify needed projects, prepare financial plans and schedule improvements to community developments; and

WHEREAS, the 2005 – 2009 Miami County CIP is a long-term planning guide that specifies and describes the County's Capital Project schedule and priorities for the five years 2005 through 2009; and

WHEREAS, recent development and growth trends have resulted in increased traffic on certain roads and bridges in Miami County; and

WHEREAS, the traffic counts taken at these locations indicate recorded volumes approaching four hundred fifty (450) vehicles per day; and

WHEREAS, the Capital Improvement Plan is based on prioritizing the public facility and road and bridge infrastructure needs of Miami County.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MIAMI COUNTY, KANSAS AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The Board of County Commissioners in recognition of increased traffic counts at several locations throughout the county, as identified by the map attached hereto marked Exhibit A, hereby amends the 2005 – 2009 Capital Improvement Program – Road and Bridge Construction Section.

Section 2. This resolution shall take effect from and after its adoption by the Board of County Commissioners.

ADOPTED THIS 30th DAY OF AUGUST, 2004.



Kathy Peckman
KATHY PECKMAN, County Clerk

Approved as to form and legality

David Heger
DAVID HEGER, County Counselor

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
MIAMI COUNTY, KANSAS

Arthur Godfrey
ARTHUR GODFREY, DVM, Chairman

W.R. Butler
W.R. BUTLER, Chairman Pro-tem

James E. Wise
JAMES E. WISE, Commissioner

Patton Apple
PATTON APPLE, Commissioner

Lyle Wobker
LYLE WOBKER, Commissioner

Objectives

- ◆ Each year the County updates its Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) as part of the annual budget process. The CIP is a multi-year plan of construction and/or acquisition of high cost assets that have a projected useful life greater than 10 years. (Exceptions include Vehicles and Technology Equipment.)

- ◆ The Capital Improvement Program represents a framework for decision making.

- ◆ The Capital Improvement Program is a long-term planning guide that we use to identify needed projects, prepare financial plans and time improvements to community developments. An orderly Capital Plan will balance expenditures with operational needs.

- ◆ A long-term plan for development and growth is important for Miami County. A CIP can enhance economic development by saving money and can make County government more efficient.

- ◆ The Capital Budget represents the first year of the Capital Improvement Program. The Capital Budget is a legal document that authorized specific projects.

- ◆ The Capital Budget and the Operating Budget are voted upon separately.

- ◆ The Capital Budget serves as a blueprint for change and development both inside and outside the government.

- ◆ **Carry Forward Capital Project:** Any capital project that has been previously approved by the Board of County Commissioners but for various reasons has not been implemented on schedule.

- ◆ Revenues for the Operating Budget are primarily from sales tax, property taxes, intergovernmental sources and user fees, while the revenues for the Capital Budget are generally from one time sources such as grants, user fees, or the sale of bonds.

- ◆ **Focus first on what is critical,** and then focus on how resources can be allocated to meet those needs.

Benefits

In summary, these are the benefits of having a Capital Improvement Program (CIP):

- ◆ Achieves Optimum Use of Taxpayer's Dollars
 - Advance programming of public works on an orderly basis will help avoid costly mistakes.
 - A well-planned CIP can save a considerable amount on interest costs due to a favorable bond rating.

- ◆ Focus Attention on County Goals
 - Considered by itself a new park may be a great idea, and so may an EMS facility, the paving of a gravel road, or any number of other improvements. However, a project may look quite different when it is forced to compete with other projects for limited funds in the CIP process.

- ◆ Guiding Future County Growth and Development
 - The Board of County Commissioners can use the CIP effectively to convey their vision and strategic plan.

- ◆ Serving Wider Community Interest
 - The CIP, once accepted, helps keep the community informed and reduces pressure to fund projects far down on the priority list.

- ◆ Encourages a More Efficient County Administration
 - Coordination of capital improvements by all county appointed and elected offices can reduce scheduling problems and conflict.

- ◆ Improves the Basis for Intergovernmental and Regional Cooperation
 - Capital improvement programming offers public officials of all jurisdictions an opportunity to plan in the interest of the community as a whole.

Capital Financing Options

CASH, DEBT, INTERGOVERNMENTAL AID

◆ Pay as you go or borrow

- The capital financing choice should fit the project and issuer. Factors to consider:
 - Is the capital requirement recurring, short-lived or small-scale?
 - Does the capital requirement add to the tax base, not fit in to the normal funding, would distort the tax structure, or would cash financing forfeit revenue aid?
- Pay as you go / cash. Factors to consider:
 - What are current interest rates?
 - Is your community growing, stable, or declining?
 - What is the current debt load of the issuer?
- Debt Financing. Factors to consider:
 - Would cash funding delay projects currently needed by the Community?
 - Will more than one generation of users use and benefit from the Project?
 - Will the capital facilities be used by generations of highly migratory users?
 - Is the community enjoying an expanding economy and increasing per capita income?
 - What is the "real" cost of borrowing or how high is inflation relative to interest rates?
 - Will debt limit allow for emergencies?

◆ Borrowing

- Bonds or Leasing. Factors to consider:
 - What are the direct costs of the debt issuance (interest costs, origination fees)?
 - What are the direct or hidden costs (call provisions and premiums, opportunity costs, indirect administrative costs)?
- Tax-supported or Self-supported Bonds. Factors to consider:
 - Does a General Obligation issuance require an election?
 - What effect will a general obligation issuance have on your community's future ability to issue GO debt?
 - What difference in interest costs will a revenue bond issue require?
 - How do the issuance costs and covenants of revenue issue compare to alternative options?

- Issuing Bonds. Factors to consider:
 - Which type of sale method is best for the issuance (competitive or negotiated)?
 - How can the structure of the issuance be designed to take into consideration current market conditions and opportunity?
 - Is there a way for the issuance to maximize the borrower's credit?

◆ Intergovernmental Aid

- Know the Programs
- Utilize Grant Programs
- Utilize State Revolving Funds

◆ Summation

- Know your options (or hire someone you trust that does)
- Determine the right financing option
- Put together the right team
- Use the appropriate sale method for your debt issuance
- Get the most out of your entity's credit
- Keep the current market in mind

Criteria for Project Values

1. Cost Effectiveness

- Will Miami County get the largest return for the dollars being spent?
- What is the community relations value?
- Is it a feasible project?
- Does the funding include leveraging of county dollars with the private sector or other governmental agencies?

2. Negative Consequences

- What are the problems created by not doing the project?
- Are there health and safety problems currently existing?
- Are there legal implications; i.e. is the project legally mandated?
- Does the county have legal authority to implement this program?

3. Budget Implications

- Do we have the personnel expertise to implement and continue this program?
- Is there some future cost savings by doing the project?
- Will there be future operation and maintenance costs if the project is completed or delayed?
- Have the costs versus the benefits of this particular program been carefully considered?
- Will additional staff be required? If so, how many and at what salary levels?
- Can fees for services be charged to the public? If so, what percentage of cost recovery could be realized for this program?
- Are there funds that can be redirected from service or program duplication?
- Can a joint venture between the county / city / community service clubs / private businesses be considered?

4. Community Goals

- Is the project consistent with the adopted community goals?
- Will the project result in the promotion of sound and orderly growth and development?
- What social, economic, political, environmental factors need to be considered?

5. Benefit to Public

- What is the benefit to the county as a whole?
- What is the number of residents directly affected by the project?
- Where does the demand originate?
- Are similar programs being offered by others?

6. Immediacy

- Is the project of such an urgent nature that it is required to be done immediately?
- Can we afford to have this program? Can we afford not to have it?

Section Two

Davis Bacon Act

The Davis Bacon Act (DBA) regulates the wage of employees where they are employed pursuant to a Federal Government Contract involving an amount in excess of \$2,000.00. The Act provides that all workers shall be paid wages, plus fringes as determined by the Secretary of Labor to be prevailing on similar projects in the County.

- ◆ Coverage extends to any contract in excess of \$2,000
- ◆ Wage Rates
- ◆ Enacted in 1931
- ◆ It is a minimum wage law for construction workers (Public Works of all types)
- ◆ Includes fringe benefits since 1964

Americans with Disability Act

The Americans with Disability Act (ADA) requires public buildings and public facilities be accessible to the disabled. K.S.A. 58-1303 requires that all public projects comply with ADA standards and otherwise be accessible to disabled persons.

If portions of a building or facility are not open to the public, then Title III of ADA does not apply to that specific area so designated. Therefore, the County as owner of the public building may not be subject to Title III of ADA, yet as an employer the County is subject to Title II and must make reasonable accommodations for a disabled employee.

Accordingly, it is the County's position that renovations to existing public facilities will be made to ADA standards. The County will comply with both Title II and Title III.

Sales Tax Exemptions

The County is exempt from Kansas sales tax. Exemption certificates may be obtained from the Procurement / Administration Office.

Project Tax Certificates shall be obtained for any contract service performed for the County. Such certificates shall be applied for and obtained through the Kansas Department of Revenue prior to the contractor, subcontractor, or repairman purchasing tangible personal property and labor services property as set forth in K.S.A. 79-3606(c), (d), or (aaa). This certificate shall be presented to the supplier at the time the invoice is rendered; therefore, upon award of a County Contracted Service, the Department Head or designee shall request a Project Exemption Certificate from Procurement.

Procurement, in conjunction with the Department Head or designee, shall complete the application form and submit it to the Kansas Department of Revenue. Once the project tax exemption certificate is received, a copy of the certificate along with a Project Completion form shall be forwarded by Procurement to the contractor, subcontractor, or repairman. Once the job has been completed, the Project Completion form shall be returned to Procurement by the contractor, subcontractor, or repairman. Procurement shall then forward a copy of the completed form to the Kansas Department of Revenue.

Section Three

**Miami County
Capital Budget
2005
Summary**

PROGRAMMED PROJECTS		2005 Capital Budget
<u>Funding Source</u>	<u>Description</u>	
Facility Improvements		
Capital Budget / General Fund	<u>Repairs & Remodeling (existing facilities)</u>	35,000
Capital Budget / General Fund	<u>Historical Society Facility Improvements</u>	3,000
Bond Program	<u>Emergency Medical Services</u> Improvements to Stations	140,000
Capital Budget	<u>Emergency Preparedness</u> Storm Sirens	16,000
Capital Budget	<u>Public Works</u> County Fuel System Improvements	20,362
Assessments	<u>Wastewater Treatment Facilities</u> System Improvements	80,000
Total Facility Improvements Program		294,362
Parks		
General Fund / Liquor Tax	<u>Land Acquisition / Improvements</u>	58,952
Total Parks		58,952
Vehicles / Heavy Equipment		
<u>Public Works</u>		
Capital Budget	2 Motorgraders	160,000
Capital Budget	2 Tandem Dump Trucks	
Capital Budget	1/2 ton Crew Cab	25,000
Capital Budget	Pickups	32,000
Capital Budget	1 Loader	175,000
Capital Budget	1 Boom Mower	50,000
Capital Budget	Truck Tractor	70,000
Capital Budget	Sander(s)	
Capital Budget	2 Belly Dumps	
Capital Budget	Paver / Lease Purchase	46,800
Capital Budget	Track Loader	175,000
Capital Budget	Oil Distributor Truck	90,000
Capital Budget	Bottom Dump Rock Hauler	40,000
	14' Track Loader	175,000
	Air Compressor	20,000
<u>Appraisal / Reappraisal</u>		
	1 SUV	18,400
<u>Sheriff's Office</u>		
Capital Budget	Patrol Fleet Vehicles	91,000
	Radar Units	5,000
	Technology Upgrades	14,000
<u>Emergency Medical Services</u>		
	Ambulance & equipment	90,000
Total Vehicles / Heavy Equipment		1,277,200

**Miami County
Capital Budget
2005
Summary**

PROGRAMMED PROJECTS		<u>2005 Capital Budget</u>
<u>Funding Source</u>	<u>Description</u>	
	<u>Information Technology</u>	
	<u>Administration Center</u>	
Equipment Reserve	Server	15,000
	<u>Administration</u>	
General Fund	Technology Upgrades	2,000
	<u>Building Codes Services</u>	
General Fund	Technology Upgrades	2,000
	<u>Building & Grounds</u>	
General Fund	Technology Upgrades	2,000
	<u>County Clerk</u>	
General Fund	Technology Upgrades	4,350
General Fund	Election Technology	20,250
	<u>County Commission</u>	
General Fund	Technology Upgrades	12,000
	<u>District Court</u>	
General Fund	Technology Upgrades	10,000
	<u>Human Resources</u>	
General Fund	Laser Printer	1,800
	<u>Information Technology</u>	
Equipment Reserve	Technology	25,000
	<u>Road & Bridge</u>	
Road & Bridge Fund	Technology Upgrades	13,000
	<u>Sheriff's Office / EMS</u>	
911 & General Fund	Radio / Communication upgrades	60,000
	<u>Special Auto</u>	
Special Auto	Technology Upgrades	2,500
	<u>Treasurer</u>	
General Fund	Technology Upgrades	3,500
Total Information Technology		173,400

**Miami County
Capital Budget
2005**

PROGRAMMED PROJECTS		
Funding Source	Description	2005 Capital Budget
Airport Improvements		
	Facility Improvements	72,000
Total Airport Improvements		72,000
<hr/>		
Road & Bridge Construction Projects		
TE	2005 Traffic Safety Projects	50,000
Bond	County Project #02-14-BD (2002 Bond) 2005 Maris Des Cygnes (Carey Bridge) 9-B.6	1,360,000
KDOT Bond	County Project #02-13-BD (2002 Bond) 2005 Ridgeview Road Bridge O.5-5.9 2005 Ridgeview Road Bridge O.5-5.9	677,000 133,000
Special Bridge	County Project #03-07-SB 2005 Rockville Road Bridge W-5.6	350,000
Bond	County Project #03-02-CO (2003 Bond) 2005 303rd Street - Plum Creek to Lookout	660,000
KDOT	County Project #02-04-BD 2005 343rd Street (1.75 miles)	1,320,000
Bond	County Project #03-03-CO (2003 Bond) 2005 255th Street Phase I	1,980,000
Bond	County Project #03-04-CO-1 (2003 Bond) 2005 West Lake Road - Phase I	550,000
Bond	County Project #04-01-BD (2003 Bond) 2005 New Lancaster - K-68 to 271st (1 mile)	430,000
Road & Bridge	Old Kansas City Road BR FAS 587 Feasibility Study for Redeck / Widening	40,000
Special Bridge Special Bridge	2006 In house Bridge Program BR 7-W.4 over Elm Creek BR R.4-23.2 Tributary to Ten Mile Creek	95,000 95,000
Bond	(2003 Bond) 2006 231st Street Waverly to Gardner	180,000
Bond	(2003 Bond) 2006 271st Street Metcalf to Rockville	80,000
Road & Bridge Budget	County Project #03-05-CO: KDOT Project # 61K-9468-01 2006 Railroad Underpass - 223rd & Woodland	26,000
KDOT	2006 Railroad Underpass - 223rd & Woodland	124,000
BNSF	2006 Railroad Underpass - 223rd & Woodland	
Road & Bridge	2006 Woodland Road - 223rd to 215th	100,000
Road & Bridge Budget	2007 Jingo Road from 367th to 359th	120,000

**Miami County
Capital Budget
2005
Summary**

<u>PROGRAMMED PROJECTS</u>		<u>2005 Capital Budget</u>
<u>Funding Source</u>	<u>Description</u>	
Road & Bridge Budget	<u>2007 363rd - Old KC Road to Osawatomie Road</u>	120,000
Road & Bridge Budget	<u>2007 239th - Old KC Road to Hospital Drive</u>	120,000
Special Bridge KDOT	<u>KDOT Bridge</u> <u>BR H-4.5 Tributary to Marais des Cygnes</u> <u>Osawatomie Rd .3 miles north of 375th</u>	80,000
Road & Bridge	<u>2009 223rd Street from US 169 toDunn Drive</u> <u>.75 miles of 5 lane</u>	300,000
Total Road & Bridge Construction Projects		8,990,000
<u>SUMMARY</u>		
	Facility Improvements	294,362
	Parks	58,952
	Vehicles / Heavy Equipment	1,277,200
	Information Technology	173,400
	Airport Improvements	72,000
	Road & Bridge Construction Projects	8,990,000
Summary Totals		10,865,914

Section Four

**Miami County
Capital Improvement Plan
2005 - 2009**

Project Number	Description	> 2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
2004 Construction Projects								
2004 In-house Culvert Replacement (2) 287th, 0.1 mile west of Somerset Woodland, 0.6 mile north of 223rd			RW, Utilities Construction CE & Other					
2005 Construction Projects								
N/A	2005 Traffic Safety Projects			Design				
02-14-BD 2002 Bond	2005 Marals Des Cygnes (Carry Bridge) BR 9-B, Bridge only with minimal road grading, relocation of crossing and preservation of existing historic site	Design	RW, Utilities	Construction CE & Other				
02-13-BD 2002 Bond	2005 Ridgeview BR C, 5.5-9 2002 Bond Bridge Replacement with road realignment	Design	RW, Utilities	Construction				
03-07-SB	2005 Rockville BR W-5.6 Bridge replacement with road realignment (In-house Bridge Project)	Design	RW, Utilities	Construction CE & Other				
03-02-CO 2003 Bond	2005 303rd Street - Plum Creek to Lookout Road 2.2 miles with intersections, includes Oswatimie Road & Lonestar	Design	RW, Utilities	Construction CE & Other				
02-04-BD	2005 343rd Street (1.75 miles) Road reconstruction and bridge replacement (Possibility to move to 2004 depending on ROW & Utilities)	Utilities		Construction CE & Other				
03-03-CO 2003 Bond	2005 255th Street (5.5 miles) Road reconstruction US 169 to 247th & Lackman via Somerset	Design	RW, Utilities	Construction CE & Other				
03-04-CO-1 2003 Bond	2005 West Lake Road - Phase I (1.2 miles) 271st from Waverly to FAS 160	Design	RW, Utilities	Construction CE & Other				

**Miami County
Capital Improvement Plan
2005 - 2009**

Project Number	Description	> 2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
04-01-BD 2003 Bond	2005 New Lancaster from K-68 to 271st (1 mile) Road reconstruction		Design	R/W, Utilities Construction CE & Other				
	2005 Old KC Road BR FAS 587 - Feasibility Study for Redeck and Widening			Design				
	223rd Street - US 169 to Dunn Drive 0.75 miles of 5 lane road - target 2009 construction			Design				
	2006 Construction Projects							
	2006 Traffic Safety Projects				Design			
	2006 In-house Bridge Program BR 7-W 4 over Elm Creek 351st Street, 0.4 mile east of Rockville			Design & R/W, Utilities	Construction CE & Other			
	2006 In-house Bridge Program BR R,4-23.2 Tributary to Ten Mile Creek Plum Road, 0.2 mile north of 223rd Street			Design & R/W, Utilities	Construction CE & Other			
2003 Bond	2006 231st Street, Waverly to Gardner Road (1 mile) Road Reconstruction		Design	R/W, Utilities	Construction CE & Other			
2003 Bond	2006 271st Street, Melcalf to Rockville Road (1 mile) Road Reconstruction		Design	R/W, Utilities	Construction			
03-05-CO 81-K-9469-01	2006 Railroad Underpass - 223rd & Woodland		Design	R/W, Utilities	Construction CE & Other			
	2006 Woodland Road - 223rd Street to 215th Street Road Rehab with drainage and surfacing Asphalt Overlay on existing geometry			Design R/W, Utilities	Construction CE & Other			
	2007 Construction Projects							
	2007 Traffic Safety Projects					Design		

**Miami County
Capital Improvement Plan
2005 - 2009**

Project Number	Description	> 2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
	2007 In-house Bridge Program					Construction		
	2007 Jingo Road, 367th Street to 358th Street (1 mile) Road reconstruction with RCB and intersection 2 gas lines thru culvert			Design	R/W, Utilities	Construction CE & Other		
	2007 363rd Street - Old KC Road to Osawatomie Road Road reconstruction (KDOT overpass)			Design	R/W, Utilities	Construction CE & Other		
	2007 239th Street - Old KC Road to Hospital Drive Road reconstruction			Design	R/W, Utilities	Construction CE & Other		
KDOT Bridge	2007 BR H-4.5 Tributary to Merals des Cygnes Osawatomie Road, 0.3 mile north of 375th Street KDOT Bridge Program			Design	R/W, Utilities	Construction CE & Other		
	2008 Construction Projects							
	2008 Traffic Safety Projects						Design	
	2008 In-house Bridge Program						Construction	
03-04-CO-2	2008 West Lake Road - Phase II (2.9 miles) 239th St & Spoon Creek to 247th St & Waverly Rd Road reconstruction with tight curves and intersection reconstruction			Design		R/W, Utilities	Construction CE & Other	
	2008 Quivira Road from 247th Street to 223rd Street (3 miles) Road reconstruction				Design	R/W, Utilities	Construction CE & Other	
	2008 231st St from Sunflower to Franklin County line 3 mile road reconstruction with RCB's				Design	R/W, Utilities	Construction CE & Other	
	2009 Construction Projects							
	2009 Traffic Safety Projects							Design
	2009 In-house Bridge Program							Construction

**Miami County
Capital Improvement Plan
2005 - 2009**

Project Number	Description	> 2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
03-04-CO-3	2009 West Lake Road - Phase III (2.15 miles) 247th Street and Waverly Road to FAS 160 Road reconstruction - route completion	Design					R/W, Utilities	Construction CE & Other
	2009 Lonestar from K-68 to 287th Street - 1 mile Road reconstruction with RCB					Design	R/W, Utilities	Construction CE & Other
	2009 Somerset Road from 287th St to 311th St - 3 miles Major road reconstruction Bridge Widening FAS 550 303rd Street to 311th Street (Target Phase 2007) 287th Street to 303rd Street (Target Phase 2009)					Design	R/W, Utilities	Construction CE & Other
	2009 Pressonville Road - 3 Phases 11 miles of road reconstruction							
	2009 Osawatomie Road from 303rd St to K-68 Hiway 3 miles road reconstruction							
	2005 - 2015 223rd Street Corridor Projects							